Section I. Property I	nformation			
	PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I.			
	ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY			
250     151     151	LEASE ATTACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS			
Submitted by:	Edie Oliveto-Oates			
Phone #:	<u>612-673-5229</u>			
Form Initiated Date:	<u>2/12/2013</u>			
Complete by Date:				
1. Address:	2111 6 <sup>th</sup> Street N			
2. Property Identifica	ation Number (PIN): <u>1502924230114</u>			
3. Lot Size:	43 x 157 6,751 sq ft			
4. Current Use:	<u>Duplex</u>			
5. Current Zoning:	R2B			
6. Proposed future u	use (include attachments as necessary): Rehabilitation for affordable rental housing			
7. List addresses of	adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: The City owners 2126 6th Street. N.			
8. Project Coordinat	or comments: this duplex will be included in UHW Rental Reclaim 5 project.			
PROJECT COORDINATO	DR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV			
Section II. Zoning R	eview			
9. Lot is Buil	dable for <b>any</b> structure 🖂 Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure 🗌			
Explain:				
	applications be required to achieve the <b>proposed</b> future use noted in item 6?			
Yes 🗍 No				
11. Comments: Ref	nabbed use assumed to be duplex. The remodel should simply require a building permit			
unless there are	exterior alterations/additions that affect setbacks.			
Completed by: Rob	bb Clarksen Date: <u>2/19/2013</u>			
ZONING STAFF:	EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV			
Section III. Commun	nity Planning Review			
	Il area plan(s) in effect for parcel: <u>There are no small area plans in effect for this parcel. It</u> we want to be with the effect for this parcel. It			
13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood.				
	proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?			
Yes ⊠ No				
	n adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger			
development?				
Yes 🗌 No				
16. Is parcel identified	d in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?			
Yes 🗌 No	<del></del>			
	habilitation of an existing two-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of			
	ood category of the comprehensive plan.			
	Voll Date: 2/23/2013			
COMMUNITY PLANNER	Prince of the second to arlene.Robinson@Minneapolismn.GoV			

CFED - FUDIIC Lai	iu Sale aliu Acquisitioi	I FUIIII
Planning Director Review	by: <u>Jack Byers</u>	Date: <u>3/4/2013</u>
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO AR	LENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAP	OLISMN.GOV
		·
Residential Policy and Finance	by: Wes Butler	Date: <u>3/5/2013</u>
Comments: Residential Finance concurs		
	·	4.
Residential & Real Estate Development	by: <u>Elfric Porte</u>	Date: <u>3/5/2013</u>
Comments: R-REDS support the disposition str	ategy as proposed	
Business Development Staff Comments	by: <u>Kristin Guild</u>	Date: <u>3/6/2013</u>
Comments: Business Development supports the	<u>e sale for redevelopment a</u>	nd reactivation proposed.
Economic Development Director Review	by: <u>Cathy Polasky</u>	Date: 3/6/2013
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:	;	
PROCEED to market the property as propose		
Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner		
acquire City Planning Commission finding of con-	sistency with <i>The Minneap</i>	olis Plan for Sustainable Growth
Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options		and sale must be discussed at a
Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether		
Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status):		•
,		
Housing Director Review	by: Tom Streitz	Date: <u>3/6/2013</u>

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Note: The completed and signed CPED public land form and the Planning Commission action as to the consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan *must* be attached to the staff report that is submitted to the Community Development Committee.

#### Section I. Property Information PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I. ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY PLEASE ATTACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS Submitted by: Edie Oliveto-Oates Phone #: 612-673-5229 Form Initiated Date: 2/12/2013 Complete by Date: 9/23//2015 1. Address: 2810 Emerson Ave N Property Identification Number (PIN): 0902924430010 3. Lot Size: 43 x 127 5,334 sq ft Current Use: Duplex 5. Current Zoning: R2B 6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): The property will be rehabilitate for affordable rental housing. 7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: City owners 2807 & 2811 Emerson, 8. Project Coordinator comments: UHW will include this property as part of the Rental Reclaim 5 project. PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV Section II. Zoning Review Non-Buildable for **any** structure 9. Lot is Buildable for any structure Explain: 10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the **proposed** future use noted in item 6? No 🖂 If yes, what applications? Yes 🗌 11. Comments: Rehabbed use assumed to be duplex. The remodel should simply require a building permit unless there are exterior alterations/additions that affect setbacks Completed by: Robert Clarksen Date: 2/19/2013 ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE ROBINSON MINNEAPOLISMN GOV Section III. Community Planning Review 12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: There are no small area plans in effect for this parcel. 13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates Emerson Avenue as a Community Corridor. 14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans? Yes 🖂 If no, why not? No 🗆 15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development? Yes 🗀 No $\boxtimes$ If yes, explain possible development scenarios 16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development? If Yes, what type of development? Yes 🗌 No 🖂 While this part of Emerson Avenue is a Community Corridor, which can support multi-family Comments: development, this area is predominantly single-family homes. Rehabilitation of a two-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of the Urban Neighborhood category of the comprehensive plan. Completed by: Jim Voll Date: 2/23/2013 EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE. ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN. GOV COMMUNITY PLANNER:

Planning Director Review	by: <u>Jack Byers</u>	Date: 3/4/2013					
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV							
Residential Policy and Finance	by: <u>Wes Butler</u>	Date: <u>3/5/2013</u>					
Comments: Residential Finance concurs							
		D . 0/5/0040					
Residential & Real Estate Development	by: Elfric Porte	Date: <u>3/5/2013</u>					
Comments: R-REDS support the disposition strateg	y as proposed						
D	laco Kulatin Califal	Deta: 2/6/2012					
Business Development Staff Comments by: Kristin Guild Date: 3/6/2013							
Comments: Business Development supports the sal	e tor redevelopment and	d reactivation proposed.					
Farmer's Davidson to Davidson	har Oathar Dalaain	D-t 2/6/0042					
Economic Development Director Review	by: <u>Cathy Polasky</u>	Date: <u>3/6/2013</u>					
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:							
☑ PROCEED to market the property as proposed							
Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in S	Section III) in advance o	f CD Committee hearing to					
acquire City Planning Commission finding of consister							
HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting							
Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a							
Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made							
Director's Notes (reason for <b>HOLD</b> status):							
Housing Director Review	by: Tom Streitz	Date: 3/6/2013					

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Note: The completed and signed CPED public land form and the Planning Commission action as to the consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan *must* be attached to the staff report that is submitted to the Community Development Committee.

#### Section I. Property Information

#### PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I.

ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY PLEASE ATTACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS
NO. SHATON PROPERTY AND COLORS AND
Phone #: 612-673-5229
Form Initiated Date: 2/12/2013
Complete by Date:
I. Address: 2605 Colfax Ave N
2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 0902924440178
3. Lot Size: 43 x 127 5,433 sq ft
4. Current Use: <u>Duplex</u>
5. Current Zoning: R2B
B. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Affordable rental housing.
7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: <u>The City owners 918 26<sup>th</sup> Ave. N.</u>
<ol> <li>Project Coordinator comments: <u>UHW will rehabilitate the duplex and include it in the Rental Reclaim 5</u> <u>project.</u></li> </ol>
PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV
Section II. Zoning Review
D. Lot is Buildable for <b>any</b> structure ⊠ Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure □
Explain:
10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the proposed future use noted in item 6?
Yes ☐ No ☒ If yes, what applications?
11. Comments: Rehabbed use assumed to be duplex. The remodel should simply require a building permit
unless there are exterior alterations/additions that affect setbacks
Completed by: Robert Clarksen Date: 2/19/2013
ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV
Section III. Community Planning Review
12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: There are no small area plans in effect for this parcel.
13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: <u>The</u>
Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth designates this area as Urban Neighborhood.
14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?
Yes ⊠ No □ If no, why not?
5. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development?
Yes ☐ No ☒ If yes, explain possible development scenarios
16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?
Yes  No  If Yes, what type of development?
Comments: Rehabilitation of an existing two-family home is in conformance with the policy guidance of
he Urban Neighborhood category of the comprehensive plan.
Completed by: <u>Jim Voll</u> Date: <u>2/23/2013</u>
COMMUNITY PLANNER: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

CPED - Public Land	Sale and Acquisition	I FUIIII
Planning Director Review	by: <u>Jack Byers</u>	Date: <u>3/4/2013</u>
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLE	NE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPO	<u>OLISMN.GOV</u>
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
Residential Policy and Finance	by: Wes Butler	Date: <u>3/5/2013</u>
Comments: Residential Finance concurs	· .	•
Residential & Real Estate Development	by: Elfric Porte	Date: <u>3/5/2013</u>
Comments: R-REDS support the disposition strate	egy as proposed	
Business Development Staff Comments	by: Kristin Guild	Date: 3/6/2013
Comments: Business Development supports the s	•	nd reactivation proposed.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Economic Development Director Review	by: Cathy Polasky	Date: 3/6/2013
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:		
PROCEED to market the property as proposed		
Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in		of CD Committee hearing to
acquire City Planning Commission finding of consist	tency with <i>The Minneap</i>	olis Plan for Sustainable Growth
acquire city i landing commission whom g a commission	,	
HOLD this property for discussion at next availa	ble Director's Meeting	,
Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options ou	utlined in this form, this la	nd sale must be discussed at a
Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or		
Director's Notes (reason for <b>HOLD</b> status):		
Housing Director Review	by: Tom Streitz	Date: <u>3/6/2013</u>

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Note: The completed and signed CPED public land form and the Planning Commission action as to the consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan *must* be attached to the staff report that is submitted to the Community Development Committee.